

The Association between the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and Reduced Disparities in Health Care Access by English Language Proficiency

Abstract

This paper examines the association between full implementation of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and reduced disparities in insurance coverage, access to health care and health care utilization by English language proficiency, with a focus on the Hispanic population. The population with limited English proficient (LEP) is growing rapidly over years and faces disparities in access to care due to language barriers. We analyze data from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) 2006-2016. Using multivariate regressions with interaction terms between year indicator after 2014 and population cohort, we show that LEP Hispanics were more likely to have a usual source of health care than their English proficient counterparts after implementation of the ACA. The probabilities of forgoing any necessary care decreased more substantially among LEP Hispanics under the ACA, compared with other Hispanics. However, there was no evidence that the ACA increased the use of health care, improved quality of health care or patient satisfaction among the Hispanic LEP population.

Introduction

In 2011, 60.6 million people (21 percent of the US population) spoke a language other than English at home. Among them, 41.8 percent had limited English proficiency (LEP), reporting that they speak English less than “very well” (1). The figures are rapidly growing over years, along with the increasing diversity of the US population. Limited English proficiency has been widely documented as a barrier to health care. People with LEP experienced difficulties in obtaining health insurance coverage (2,3), accessing health care services (4–10), receiving good quality care with high patient satisfaction (11–13), communicating with the health care provider (14–18), using preventive health care, such as cancer screening and influenza vaccinations (6,19–23) and achieving medication and treatment adherence (24–27). LEP population also experience worse health outcomes. They are more likely to report poor self-rated health status and psychological distress (4,6,28). They have higher odds of undiagnosed or uncontrolled hypertension, poor glycemic control and asthma control (27,29,30). LEP patients also have high risk for unplanned emergency room (ER) visits (31,32), prolonged hospital length of stay (33,34), frequent hospital readmission (35) and serious adverse effects (12,36,37).

The Affordable Care Act (ACA) was designed to expand health insurance coverage to Americans who were previously uninsured, improve their access to care and ultimately advance health equity. It provides new coverage options for low-income population – the expansion of Medicaid to adults with income up to 138 percent of federal poverty level (FPL) and the establishment of health insurance Marketplaces providing tax credits to individuals with incomes between 100% and 400% FPL. The ACA also has great potential to improve access to care for disadvantaged population with LEP (38,39). Section 1557 includes a nondiscrimination provision, asserts that any health programs and activities that receive federal financial assistance must provide meaningful access to each individual with LEP who may require assistance (40). It includes the provision of language assistance services in hospitals and health systems, such as on-site and telephonic interpreters, and translating documents such as patient forms and discharge papers (41). Section 1311 of the ACA requires that applications, forms, and notices in the health insurance Marketplace must be written in a “plain language”, language that is concise, well-organized and individuals with

LEP can readily understand and use (42). The ACA also supports navigator programs and community health centers to facilitate enrollment in health insurance in the hard-to-reach areas among disadvantaged population, including people with LEP.

The ACA implementation was associated with increases in health insurance coverage, access to and affordability of care, and health care utilization (43–45). Recent studies show that the ACA has reduced socioeconomic disparities in health care coverage and access. The gaps have been narrowed for racial and ethnic minorities, low-income population, younger adults, and patients with chronic conditions or disabilities (46–51). However, the Hispanic/Latino received the fewest benefits among racial and ethnic minority groups (46,52). The ACA had remarkably heterogeneous effects across Hispanic/Latino subgroups, in part because of the disparities in English proficiency (53,54). It has been shown that California early public coverage expansions produced significant increases in coverage for low-income adults, with the largest gains in those who lacked English proficiency (55). To our knowledge, no study has investigated the role of English proficiency in explaining differential effects of the ACA across racial and ethnic minority groups at a national level. Our study fills this gap in literature by analyzing differences in health insurance coverage, access to and use of health care between Hispanics with or without language barriers under the ACA. Specifically, using data from the 2006 to 2016 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS), we examine how the trends between these two groups of Hispanics have changed before and after implementation of the ACA in 2014.

Data and Methods

The data for this analysis is from the Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS). The MEPS collects data from a nationally representative subsample of households drawn from the prior year's National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). It provides nationally representative estimates of health care use, expenditures, sources of payment, and health insurance coverage for the U.S. civilian noninstitutionalized population. Our analysis uses data from the annual cross-sectional MEPS data covering the period 2006 through 2016. The study focuses on adults 18-64 years of age, including a total of 272,030 observations over 10 years. The Hispanic sample in our analysis is restricted to U.S.-born Hispanics and foreign-born Hispanics who have lived in the country for more than five years because the ACA's provisions are only available for U.S. citizen and lawful non-citizens (56).

Since the focus of our analysis is Hispanic population with LEP, we obtain a measure of English language proficiency from the MEPS, which is consistent with the one used by the U.S. Census Bureau. People who speak a language other than English at home and speak English less than “very well” are defined as limited English proficient. Our outcome variables include various measures of health insurance coverage, access to and use of health care. Firstly, we have a set of indicators of health insurance coverage. The four variables indicate having any forms of health insurance coverage, private insurance, Medicaid, or Medicare coverage. Secondly, we consider measures of access to and affordability of care. They include an indicator of having a usual source of health care, and three variables indicating the probability that the person needed necessary medical/dental/preventive care but was unable to receive it. Thirdly, we have four indicators of the use of health care, including office-based visits, hospital outpatient visits, hospital inpatient visits and emergency room visit. Lastly, we examine a set of measures of health care quality and patient satisfaction with care.

We use multivariate linear probability models to study the variation in health insurance

coverage, access to and use of health care concurrent with the ACA implementation in 2014. We add the interaction terms between the ACA implementation (year after 2014) and a specific population cohort to test the hypothesis that disparities in English proficiency contribute to the heterogeneous effects of the ACA across racial/ethnic groups. We include three population cohorts - English proficient non-Hispanic whites as the reference group, English proficient Hispanic people and Hispanic people with LEP. Specifically, we estimate the following model:

$$Y_{ita} = \mu + \beta_1 LEPHisp_{it} + \beta_2 NonLEPHisp_{it} + \beta_3 White_{it} + \gamma_1 (LEPHisp_{it} \times PostACA_{it}) + \gamma_2 (NonLEPHisp_{it} \times PostACA_{it}) + \gamma_3 (White_{it} \times PostACA_{it}) + \theta Year_t + \delta AgeGroup_a + \delta X_{it}$$

where i indexes individual, t year and a age group. Y_{ita} indicates the outcome variables as noted. $LEPHisp_{it}$ denotes Hispanic people with LEP, $NonLEPHisp_{it}$ English proficient Hispanic people and $White_{it}$ English proficient non-Hispanic whites. $LEPHisp_{it} \times PostACA_{it}$, $NonLEPHisp_{it} \times PostACA_{it}$, and $White_{it} \times PostACA_{it}$ are interaction terms between year indicator after 2014 and each of the three population cohorts. Variables with the reference group $White_{it}$ and $White_{it} \times PostACA_{it}$ are omitted from the model because of collinearity. X_{it} is a set of control variables, including age, sex, marital status, educational levels, household income, employment, U.S.-born citizenship, self-reported health, and chronic conditions. $Year_t$ and $AgeGroup_a$ control for year and age group fixed effects. The analyses use survey weights to account for the survey design of the MEPS.

Preliminary Results

Table 1 provides characteristics of adults in the three population cohorts - English proficient non-Hispanic whites, English proficient Hispanics and LEP Hispanics on the basis of the MEPS data from 2006 to 2013. Compared to English proficient counterparts, LEP Hispanics were older, more likely to be female, less likely to have a college degree or above, more likely to live in a low-income household, more likely to be married and unemployed. LEP Hispanics reported worse health status but they were less likely to report having a chronic condition, partly because limited English proficiency is associated with high risk of undiagnosed and uncontrolled chronic diseases (30,57,58).

Table 2 shows the regression results for changes in health insurance coverage, access to and affordability of health care. In Panel A of Table 2, we found the ACA implementation was associated with significant increases in health insurance coverage among Hispanic population, with a larger increase among those who lacked English proficiency. Results also indicate significant variation in types of insurance coverage under the ACA. For Hispanics with LEP, most of the coverage gains occurred in private insurance and there was no significant change in Medicaid and other public insurance. In contrast, non-LEP Hispanics experienced larger coverage gains in Medicaid and the ACA impacts on private insurance were relatively smaller. Panel B of Table 2 shows that the ACA implementation was also associated with improved access to care among Hispanic population. Similarly, the increases in the probability of having a usual source of care were larger for those with language barriers. In addition, there were significant reductions in the probability of being unable to receive needed medical care among Hispanics with LEP. The coefficient for non-LEP Hispanics were small and not statistically significant.

Table 3 presents the regression results for the use of health care, health care quality and patient satisfaction with care. In contrast to those reported in Table 2, most of the

coefficients in Table 3 were small and statistically insignificant. The ACA implementation only significantly reduced the probability of having hospital outpatient visits among Hispanics with LEP. One possible explanation is that the demand for hospital outpatient care declined as more people with LEP had a usual source of health care under the ACA. In addition, for English-proficient Hispanics, we found the ACA implementation increased their probabilities of reporting getting medical appointment and needed medical care easily but there was no similar impact among LEP Hispanics. Results in this table indicate that there was no evidence of a change in health care utilization, health care quality and patient satisfaction among LEP Hispanics in response to the ACA.

In summary, disparities in health coverage, access to and the use of health care by English proficiency have been reduced significantly among Hispanic population during the initial years of the full ACA implementation. We found evidence of the changes in health insurance coverage and access to care, but no impacts on the use of health care, quality of health care or patient satisfaction with care.

Next Steps

In the final version of this paper, we will add more analyses in addition to the main regressions. Firstly, we will use a sequential regression model by adding an increasing number of covariates sequentially in the model to detect which variable explains the disparities by English proficiency under the ACA. Next, we will examine the changes across Hispanic subgroups. Previous studies have demonstrated that Hispanic subgroups differed in the response to the ACA implementation. Hispanic population were categorized as Puerto Rican, Cuban, Dominican, Mexican, and Central or South American in the MEPS. We will describe the heterogeneous ACA impacts across each of these categories interacted with English language proficiency status. Lastly, since Table 1 shows significant differences between Hispanic people with or without LEP, we will have regressions after propensity score matching on age gender, education and other key factors and compare outcomes between matched groups.

References

1. Ryan C. Language use in the United States: 2011. Am Community Surv Rep. 2013;22:1–16.
2. Caesar LG. English Proficiency and Access to Health Insurance in Hispanics Who Are Elderly: Implications for Adequate Health Care. *Hisp J Behav Sci.* 2006 Feb 1;28(1):143–52.
3. Gonzales G. State estimates of limited English proficiency (LEP) by health insurance status. The State Health Access Data Assistance Center (SHADAC); 2014.
4. Ponce NA, Hays RD, Cunningham WE. Linguistic Disparities in Health Care Access and Health Status Among Older Adults. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2006 Jul;21(7):786–91.
5. Cheng EM, Chen A, Cunningham W. Primary Language and Receipt of Recommended Health Care Among Hispanics in the United States. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2007 Nov;22(Suppl 2):283–8.
6. DuBard CA, Gizlice Z. Language Spoken and Differences in Health Status, Access to Care, and Receipt of Preventive Services Among US Hispanics. *Am J Public Health.* 2008 Nov;98(11):2021–8.

7. Brach C, Chevarley FM. Demographics and health care access and utilization of limited-English-proficient and English-proficient Hispanics. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2008.
8. Shi L, Lebrun LA, Tsai J. The influence of English proficiency on access to care. *Ethn Health*. 2009 Dec;14(6):625–42.
9. Smith D. Health Care Disparities for Persons with Limited English Proficiency: Relationships from the 2006 Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS). *J Health Disparities Res Pract* [Internet]. 2012 Apr 17;3(3). Available from: <https://digitalscholarship.unlv.edu/jhdrp/vol3/iss3/4>
10. Lebrun LA. Effects of length of stay and language proficiency on health care experiences among immigrants in Canada and the United States. *Soc Sci Med* 1982. 2012 Apr;74(7):1062–72.
11. Weech-Maldonado R, Morales LS, Elliott M, Spritzer K, Marshall G, Hays RD. Race/Ethnicity, Language, and Patients' Assessments of Care in Medicaid Managed Care. *Health Serv Res*. 2003 Jun;38(3):789–808.
12. Divi C, Koss RG, Schmaltz SP, Loeb JM. Language proficiency and adverse events in US hospitals: a pilot study. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2007 Apr 1;19(2):60–7.
13. Pippins JR, Alegría M, Haas JS. Association Between Language Proficiency and the Quality of Primary Care Among A National Sample of Insured Latinos. *Med Care*. 2007 Nov;45(11):1020–5.
14. Morales LS, Cunningham WE, Brown JA, Liu H, Hays RD. Are Latinos Less Satisfied with Communication by Health Care Providers? *J Gen Intern Med*. 1999 Jul;14(7):409–17.
15. Wilson E, Chen AH, Grumbach K, Wang F, Fernandez A. Effects of Limited English Proficiency and Physician Language on Health Care Comprehension. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2005 Sep;20(9):800–6.
16. Flores G. Language barriers to health care in the United States. *N Engl J Med*. 2006 Jul 20;355(3):229–31.
17. Lopez-Quintero C, Berry EM, Neumark Y. Limited English Proficiency Is a Barrier to Receipt of Advice about Physical Activity and Diet among Hispanics with Chronic Diseases in the United States. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2009;109(10):1769–1774.
18. Karliner LS, Auerbach A, Nápoles A, Schillinger D, Nickleach D, Pérez-Stable EJ. Language Barriers and Understanding of Hospital Discharge Instructions. *Med Care*. 2012 Apr;50(4):283–9.
19. Woloshin S, Schwartz LM, Katz SJ, Welch HG. Is Language a Barrier to the Use of Preventive Services? *J Gen Intern Med*. 1997 Aug;12(8):472–7.
20. Jacobs EA, Karavolos K, Rathouz PJ, Ferris TG, Powell LH. Limited English proficiency and breast and cervical cancer screening in a multiethnic population. *Am J Public Health*. 2005 Aug;95(8):1410–6.
21. Diaz JA, Roberts MB, Goldman RE, Weitzen S, Eaton CB. Effect of Language on

- Colorectal Cancer Screening Among Latinos and Non-Latinos. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomark Prev Publ Am Assoc Cancer Res Cosponsored Am Soc Prev Oncol*. 2008 Aug;17(8):2169–73.
22. Wallace SP, Gutiérrez VF, Castañeda X. Access to preventive services for adults of Mexican origin. *J Immigr Minor Health*. 2008 Aug;10(4):363–71.
 23. Pearson WS, Zhao G, Ford ES. An Analysis of Language as a Barrier to Receiving Influenza Vaccinations among an Elderly Hispanic Population in the United States. *Adv Prev Med [Internet]*. 2011;2011. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.4061/2011/298787>
 24. Masland MC, Kang SH, Ma Y. Association between limited English proficiency and understanding prescription labels among five ethnic groups in California. *Ethn Health*. 2011 Apr;16(2):125–44.
 25. Wisnivesky JP, Krauskopf K, Wolf MS, Wilson EAH, Sofianou A, Martynenko M, et al. The association between language proficiency and outcomes of elderly patients with asthma. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2012 Sep 1;109(3):179–84.
 26. Moreno G, Lin EH, Chang E, Johnson RL, Berthoud H, Solomon CC, et al. Disparities in the Use of Internet and Telephone Medication Refills among Linguistically Diverse Patients. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2016 Mar;31(3):282–8.
 27. Fernandez A, Schillinger D, Warton EM, Adler N, Moffet HH, Schenker Y, et al. Language barriers, physician-patient language concordance, and glycemic control among insured latinos with diabetes: The diabetes study of Northern California (DISTANCE). *J Gen Intern Med*. 2011;26(2):170–176.
 28. Kim G, Aguado Loi CX, Chiriboga DA, Jang Y, Parmelee P, Allen RS. Limited English proficiency as a barrier to mental health service use: a study of Latino and Asian immigrants with psychiatric disorders. *J Psychiatr Res*. 2011 Jan;45(1):104–10.
 29. Wisnivesky JP, Kattan M, Evans D, Leventhal H, Musumeci-Szabó TJ, McGinn T, et al. Assessing the relationship between language proficiency and asthma morbidity among inner-city asthmatics. *Med Care*. 2009 Feb;47(2):243–9.
 30. Kim EJ, Kim T, Paasche-Orlow MK, Rose AJ, Hanchate AD. Disparities in Hypertension Associated with Limited English Proficiency. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2017 Jun;32(6):632–9.
 31. Njeru JW, St. Sauver JL, Jacobson DJ, Ebbert JO, Takahashi PY, Fan C, et al. Emergency department and inpatient health care utilization among patients who require interpreter services. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2015 May 29;15(1):214.
 32. Ngai KM, Grudzen CR, Lee R, Tong VY, Richardson LD, Fernandez A. The Association between Limited English Proficiency and Unplanned Emergency Department Revisit within 72 hours. *Ann Emerg Med*. 2016 Aug;68(2):213–21.
 33. John-Baptiste A, Naglie G, Tomlinson G, Alibhai SMH, Etchells E, Cheung A, et al. The Effect of English Language Proficiency on Length of Stay and In-hospital Mortality. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2004 Mar;19(3):221–8.
 34. López L, Rodríguez F, Huerta D, Soukup J, Hicks L. Use of interpreters by physicians for

- hospitalized limited English proficient patients and its impact on patient outcomes. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2015 Jun;30(6):783–9.
35. Lindholm M, Hargraves JL, Ferguson WJ, Reed G. Professional language interpretation and inpatient length of stay and readmission rates. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2012 Oct;27(10):1294–9.
 36. Hines AL, Andrews RM, Moy E, Barrett ML, Coffey RM. Disparities in Rates of Inpatient Mortality and Adverse Events: Race/Ethnicity and Language as Independent Contributors. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2014 Dec;11(12):13017–34.
 37. Tang EW, Go J, Kwok A, Leung B, Lauck S, Wong ST, et al. The relationship between language proficiency and surgical length of stay following cardiac bypass surgery. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2016 Oct 1;15(6):438–46.
 38. Applebaum B, Robbins S. Language Access and Health Equity: Changes under the Affordable Care Act. *J Health Care Poor Underserved.* 2016 May 13;27(2):416–26.
 39. Teitelbaum J, Cartwright-Smith L, Rosenbaum S. Translating rights into access: language access and the Affordable Care Act. *Am J Law Med.* 2012;38(2–3):348–73.
 40. U.S. Government. Affordable Care Act § 1557. 2010.
 41. Morgan R. Summary of the health workforce provisions in the patient protection and Affordable Care Act: H.R. 3590 [Internet]. Washington, DC: National Conference of State Legislatures; 2011. Available from: <http://www.ncsl.org/documents/health/hlthwrkfrceprovhr3590.pdf>
 42. U.S. Government. Affordable Care Act § 1311. 2010.
 43. Sommers BD, Gunja MZ, Finegold K, Musco T. Changes in Self-reported Insurance Coverage, Access to Care, and Health Under the Affordable Care Act. *JAMA.* 2015 Jul 28;314(4):366–74.
 44. Wherry LR, Miller S. Early Coverage, Access, Utilization, and Health Effects Associated With the Affordable Care Act Medicaid Expansions: A Quasi-experimental Study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2016 Jun 21;164(12):795.
 45. Miller S, Wherry LR. Health and Access to Care during the First 2 Years of the ACA Medicaid Expansions. *N Engl J Med.* 2017 Mar 9;376(10):947–56.
 46. Chen J, Vargas-Bustamante A, Mortensen K, Ortega AN. Racial and ethnic disparities in health care access and utilization under the affordable care act. *Med Care.* 2016;54(2):140–146.
 47. Sommers BD, Blendon RJ, Orav EJ, Epstein AM. Changes in Utilization and Health Among Low-Income Adults After Medicaid Expansion or Expanded Private Insurance. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2016 Oct 1;176(10):1501–9.
 48. McMorrow S, Kenney GM, Long SK, Anderson N. Uninsurance among young adults continues to decline, particularly in Medicaid expansion states. *Health Aff Proj Hope.* 2015 Apr;34(4):616–20.

49. Torres H, Poorman E, Tadepalli U, Schoettler C, Fung CH, Mushero N, et al. Coverage and Access for Americans With Chronic Disease Under the Affordable Care Act: A Quasi-Experimental Study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2017 Apr 4;166(7):472.
50. Kennedy J, Wood EG, Frieden L. Disparities in Insurance Coverage, Health Services Use, and Access Following Implementation of the Affordable Care Act: A Comparison of Disabled and Nondisabled Working-Age Adults. *Inq J Med Care Organ Provis Financ.* 2017 Dec;54:46958017734031.
51. Griffith K, Evans L, Bor J. The Affordable Care Act Reduced Socioeconomic Disparities In Health Care Access. *Health Aff (Millwood).* 2017 Aug 1;36(8):1503–10.
52. Yue D, Rasmussen PW, Ponce NA. Racial/Ethnic Differential Effects of Medicaid Expansion on Health Care Access. *Health Serv Res.* 2018 Feb 22;
53. Gonzales S, Sommers BD. Intra-Ethnic Coverage Disparities among Latinos and the Effects of Health Reform. *Health Serv Res.* 2018 Jun 1;53(3):1373–86.
54. Bustamante AV, McKenna RM, Viana J, Ortega AN, Chen J. Access-To-Care Differences Between Mexican-Heritage And Other Latinos In California After The Affordable Care Act. *Health Aff Proj Hope.* 2018 Sep;37(9):1400–8.
55. Sommers BD, Chua K, Kenney GM, Long SK, McMorrow S. California’s Early Coverage Expansion under the Affordable Care Act: A County-Level Analysis. *Health Serv Res.* 2016 Jun;51(3):825–45.
56. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Service. Eligibility for non-citizens in Medicaid and CHIP [Internet]. 2014 Nov. Available from: <https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/outreach-and-enrollment/downloads/overview-of-eligibility-for-non-citizens-in-medicaid-and-chip.pdf>
57. Johnson HM, Thorpe CT, Bartels CM, Schumacher JR, Palta M, Pandhi N, et al. Undiagnosed hypertension among young adults with regular primary care use. *J Hypertens.* 2014 Jan;32(1):65–74.
58. Eamranond PP, Patel KV, Legedza ATR, Marcantonio ER, Leveille SG. The association of language with prevalence of undiagnosed hypertension among older Mexican Americans. *Ethn Dis.* 2007;17(4):699–706.

Table 1: Baseline Characteristics of MEPS Respondents in Three Population Cohorts

Characteristics	LEP Hispanics		Non-LEP Hispanics		Non-LEP Whites	
Age	39.8	(0.567)	26.8	(0.217)	39.9	(0.216)
Female(%)	50.7	(0.707)	48.5	(0.273)	51.0	(0.177)
Education: less than high school(%)	85.8	(0.615)	68.3	(0.561)	46.0	(0.467)
Education: high school diploma(%)	18.0	(0.704)	21.3	(0.359)	24.7	(0.362)
Education: college degree(%)	10.2	(0.523)	24.3	(0.477)	39.5	(0.386)
Education: advanced degree after college(%)	1.1	(0.170)	3.6	(0.192)	10.5	(0.293)
Low-income household(%)	46.4	(1.756)	33.1	(1.139)	14.7	(0.304)
Married(%)	49.8	(1.231)	27.5	(0.400)	44.8	(0.329)
Employed(%)	56.2	(1.268)	60.3	(0.680)	60.8	(0.440)
Self-reported health: poor or fair(%)	21.0	(0.953)	8.9	(0.232)	10.0	(0.169)
Self-reported health: excellent or good(%)	79.0	(0.953)	91.1	(0.232)	90.0	(0.169)
Having at least one chronic condition(%)	54.5	(1.407)	67.5	(0.339)	74.0	(0.265)
Region: south(%)	39.3	(4.096)	35.5	(2.687)	34.6	(0.867)
Region: northeast(%)	13.0	(1.389)	13.9	(1.044)	19.2	(0.765)
Region: west(%)	40.7	(3.116)	41.6	(2.121)	19.8	(0.782)
Region midwest(%)	6.9	(0.932)	9.0	(0.870)	26.4	(0.752)

Data source: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) 2006-2013

Standard errors in parentheses

t test on the equality of means of LEP Hispanics and non-LEP Hispanics

** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.05$

Table 2: Additional Changes in Health Insurance Coverage and Care Access for Different Hispanic Groups under the ACA

A. Insurance coverage

	Any insurance		Private insurance		Medicaid insurance		Medicare insurance	
	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient
Hispanic with LEP: additional change in 2014-2016	0.503	0.0452*** (0.0166)	0.188	0.0392*** (0.0143)	0.277	0.00362 (0.0107)	0.12	-0.00456 (0.00402)
Hispanic without LEP: additional change in 2014-2016	0.782	0.0340*** (0.00854)	0.446	0.0187* (0.00980)	0.325	0.0209*** (0.00766)	0.059	-0.00135 (0.00302)

B. Access to care

	Having usual source of care		Unable to get necessary medical care		Unable to get necessary dental care		Unable to get necessary preventive care	
	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient
Hispanic with LEP: additional change in 2014-2016	0.533	0.0627*** (0.0146)	0.039	-0.0154*** (0.00525)	0.064	-0.00552 (0.00488)	0.02	-0.00281 (0.00378)
Hispanic without LEP: additional change in 2014-2016	0.724	0.0264** (0.0106)	0.022	-0.000778 (0.00346)	0.038	0.00426 (0.00407)	0.012	0.00754** (0.00308)

Data source: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) 2006-2016

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 3: Additional Changes in the Use of Health Care and Patient Satisfaction for Different Hispanic Groups under the ACA

C. Health care utilization

	Office-based visits		Hospital outpatient visits		Hospital inpatient visits		Emergency room visits	
	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient
Hispanic with LEP: additional change in 2014-2015	0.52	0.00332 (0.0175)	0.075	-0.0239*** (0.00846)	0.068	-0.00195 (0.00465)	0.102	-0.00103 (0.00643)
Hispanic without LEP: additional change in 2014-2015	0.611	0.00723 (0.00841)	0.076	-0.0134** (0.00668)	0.05	0.00521 (0.00383)	0.117	0.00863 (0.00569)
D1. Health care quality and patient satisfaction with care								
	Difficult to access the provider by phone		Difficult to access the provider after hour		Got medical appointment when wanted		Easy getting needed medical care	
	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient
Hispanic with LEP: additional change in 2014-2015	0.21	-0.00928 (0.0181)	0.511	0.0187 (0.0273)	0.832	-0.00371 (0.0170)	0.805	0.0104 (0.0178)
Hispanic without LEP: additional change in 2014-2015	0.154	0.000215 (0.00971)	0.377	0.0115 (0.0182)	0.782	0.0348*** (0.0108)	0.831	0.0237** (0.0103)
D2. Health care quality and patient satisfaction with care								
	Ask about treatments other doctors may give		Show respect for treatments that the patient is happy with		Ask the patient to help make decision		Present and explain all options to the patient	
	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient	Baseline	Coefficient
Hispanic with LEP: additional change in 2014-2015	0.819	-0.0268 (0.0172)	0.866	0.0203 (0.0136)	0.792	-0.0287* (0.0158)	0.928	-0.0117 (0.0114)
Hispanic without LEP: additional change in 2014-2015	0.799	-0.00872 (0.0110)	0.90	-0.00931 (0.00851)	0.822	-0.00326 (0.0111)	0.941	-0.00520 (0.00663)

Data source: Medical Expenditure Panel Survey (MEPS) 2006-2016

Robust standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1